

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,352	10/31/2001	Stanley J. Kopecky	112703-207	1356
29156	7590 05/23/2003			
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			EXAMINER	
			ACKUN, JACOB K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3712	4
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/001,352	KOPECKY, STANLE	ΕΥ J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAII INC DATE of this communication com	Jacob K. Ackun Jr.	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur vill apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		pplication).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application l	nas been received.	,				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:					

Application/Control Number: 10/001,352 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Douglas in view of Johnson. Douglas discloses most of the elements of the claims (note especially the Fig 5 embodiment), including the well known folding of the insert, but does not disclose sizing of the housing relative to the insert such that the insert is frictionally held within the housing. Johnson shows that the missing element is conventional (note first column at bottom thereof). It would have been obvious in view of Johnson to size the insert of Douglas relative to the housing thereof (whether or not the insert was also folded), in order to provide a more simple and economical method of retaining the insert within the housing.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson. None of the rejected claims requires folding of the insert. Johnson is considered to clearly teach that flaps having portions 3 and 4 for retaining an insert within a housing may (but do not have to be) be omitted by diminishing the sliding clearance between the insert and the housing. Thus Johnson teaches that a friction fit may be used by itself or together with the

Application/Control Number: 10/001,352

Art Unit: 3712

aforementioned flaps to retain an insert within a housing. When one of the flaps is open and the other closed (as shown in Figs 1 and 2) the housing of Johnson has a closed end and an open end.

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is indefinite because the phrase "the member" therein appears to lack antecedent basis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob K. Ackun Jr. whose telephone number is (703)308-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8.30AM-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703)308-1745. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0858.

Jacob K. Ackun Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

J.A. May 20, 2003